

From: Gil7@aol.com@inetgw
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/16/02 4:08pm
Subject: Microsoft Settlement

I think that even what "Microsoft" settled for is far beyond what the punishment should be. Actually there should be no punishment for being an innovative, successful software manufacturer. Microsoft is the best because they work harder and smarter.

No consumers were hurt. The cost for "Windows" is in line with what other software makers charge for their operating systems. If there was a better operating system out there it would have become the standard no matter what marketing ploys Microsoft used. People just want the easiest, best software available.

If there was a better operating system or even one as good as "Windows" wouldn't you think even one computer manufacturer would have included it with their computers?

As far as bundling is concerned, you don't have to be a computer genius to bypass Microsoft's products that are bundled.

It's clear that this is being pushed by Microsoft's competitors. They should spend more time and money innovating rather than trying to hurt Microsoft.

No harm -- no foul -- no punishment.

Gil Rosoff